

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9                   WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
10                  AT TACOMA

11                  STEPHANIE TAYLOR, *et al.*,

12                  Plaintiffs,

13                  v.

14                  ROSS MACDOUGALL, *et al.*,

15                  Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:19-cv-01869-RAJ-JRC

ORDER ALLOWING LATE  
RESPONSE

16         This matter is before the Court on plaintiffs' "motion for leave to file sur-reply," which  
17         the Court interprets as a request for the Court to consider plaintiffs' response to defendants'  
18         motion to dismiss (Dkt. 75) as timely filed. *See* Dkt. 82. The Court has also considered  
19         defendants' objection and request to strike plaintiffs' response. *See* Dkt. 84, at 1.

20         Although plaintiffs filed their response two days after the deadline to do so, the Court  
21         will allow the untimely response and will consider it when making a recommendation on the  
22         merits of defendants' motion to dismiss. *See* Dkt. 75.

23         ///

The Court will issue a separate report and recommendation on the motion to dismiss and will rule on plaintiffs' other pending motions by separate order. This Order does not grant plaintiffs permission to file a sur-reply in opposition to defendants' reply in support of their motion to dismiss. Dkt. 84.

Dated this 24th day of August, 2020.

J. H. Ward (matins)

J. Richard Creatura  
United States Magistrate Judge